

CONFIDENTIAL

(COMMITTEE/EVALUATOR: DECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED ON FRONT)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

ORR

EVALUATING AGENCY

REF. NUMBER

CS-3/428,353

DATE OF DISTRIB.

February 1960

FIELD NUMBER

10. Kuc 6C

Executive

Chief Country

USSR

SX-2507

Subject Construction of an Atomic Reactor at Salaspils & Other Installations in the Riga Area /SP

EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within 14 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is ~~not~~ standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

Y 1. Major

2. MODERATE

3. LITTLE

4. NO

IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
OUR NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

1. SIGNIFICANTLY

Y 2. MODERATELY

3. NEGLIGIBLY

ACCURACY:

1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE

2. CREDIBLE

3. Doubtful

4. False

Y 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION

X 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION

3. Sufficiently known

4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION
TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS

5. Conflicts with previous information

6. Appears to be fabrication

USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

Y 1. More detail

2. Less detail, more summary

3. Less fragmentary approach

4. Better organization

5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.

6. (STATED IN COMMENT)

7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.

REQUIREMENTS

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:

1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.

X 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.

3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.

4. NEGLIGIBLY

AGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:

1. FULLY

2. SUBSTANTIALLY

3. LITTLE

4. NONE

IF YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)

WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.

OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

1. Has this construction been reported?
2. Are the installations under construction of any interest, if identified?
3. Have they been identified?
4. What particulars on the construction would determine whether or not it is of intelligence concern?

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

1. These construction projects have not been reported before. Those mentioned in paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 sound somewhat similar, however, to the large atomic shelter for the Latvian Council of Ministers, the heavy shelter in the "1905" Park, and a bunker in a park area near the State Opera House.

2. If identified as installations with modern facilities for civil defense, these projects would be of considerable interest to this Branch.

3. These projects have not been identified. A more detailed description of the installations, as well as the name of the organization performing the construction would be helpful in identifying the projects.

4. Describe and sketch fully any known or suspected air raid shelters (basement or other). Include information on reinforcing, type of concrete, dimensions of walls and ceilings, escape routes, closures, ventilation system, provision for water supply, and strength (load) specifications.

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Section 3(b)

(1) Privacy

(2) Methods/Sources

(3) Foreign Relations

Declassified and Approved for Release
by the Central Intelligence Agency
Date: 2005

NAME

COMPONENT

PHONE

ORR/S/CST

2822

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

10 March 1960

CONFIDENTIAL

(20)